

GO 44 SEP 11

OFFICE OF ASSIGNMENT

RECEIVED

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

KEKER & VAN NEST, LLP  
JOHN W. KEKER - #49092  
HENRY C. BUNSOW - #60707  
JON B. STREETER - #101970  
MICHAEL H. PAGE - #154913  
RAGESH K. TANGRI - #159477  
710 Sansome Street  
San Francisco, CA 94111-1704  
Telephone: (415) 391-5400  
Facsimile: (415) 397-7188

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,  
GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP  
CHRISTOPHER P. ISAAC  
1300 I Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20005-3314  
Telephone: (202) 408-4000  
Facsimile: (202) 408-4400

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
INTERTRUST TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

C

01

1640JL

INTERTRUST TECHNOLOGIES  
CORPORATION,  
a Delaware corporation,

Plaintiff,

v.

MICROSOFT CORPORATION, a  
Washington corporation,

Defendant.

Case No.

COMPLAINT FOR INFRINGEMENT OF  
U.S. PATENT NO. 6,185,683 B1

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff INTERTRUST TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION (hereafter "InterTrust")  
hereby complains of Defendant MICROSOFT CORPORATION (hereafter "Microsoft"), and  
alleges as follows:

FILED

APR 26 2001

RICHARD W. WIEKING  
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MS  
H  
FS

1

2

4

5

## 6

7

9

2

5

+

1

1 § 271(a), thereby inducing infringement of the '683 patent under § 271(b). InterTrust is further  
2 informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft's infringement of the '683 patent  
3 under §271(b) will continue unless enjoined by this Court.

4 12. InterTrust is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft has  
5 been and is contributorily infringing the '683 patent under § 271(c) by providing digital rights  
6 management software and related functions especially made or especially adapted for infringing  
7 use and not staple articles or commodities of commerce suitable for substantial noninfringing  
8 use. InterTrust is further informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft's  
9 infringement of the '683 patent under §271(c) will continue unless enjoined by this Court.

10 13. InterTrust is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft is  
11 willfully infringing the '683 patent in the manner described above in paragraphs 10 through 12,  
12 and will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court.

13 14. InterTrust is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft has  
14 derived and received, and will continue to derive and receive from the aforesaid acts of  
15 infringement gains, profits, and advantages, tangible and intangible, the extent of which are not  
16 presently known to InterTrust. By reason of the aforesaid acts of infringement, InterTrust has  
17 been, and will continue to be, irreparably harmed.

18 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

19 WHEREFORE, InterTrust prays for relief as follows:

20 A. That Microsoft be adjudged to have infringed the '683 patent under 35 U.S.C. §  
21 271(a);

22 B. That Microsoft be adjudged to have infringed the '683 patent under 35 U.S.C. §  
23 271(b) by inducing others to infringe directly the '683 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(a);

24 C. That Microsoft be adjudged to have contributorily infringed the '683 patent under  
25 35 U.S.C. § 271(c);

26 D. That Microsoft be adjudged to have willfully infringed the '683 patent under 35  
27 U.S.C. §§ 271(a), (b), and (c);

28 E. That Microsoft, its officers, agents, servants, employees and attorneys, and those

1 persons in active concert or participation with them be preliminarily and permanently restrained  
2 and enjoined under 35 U.S.C. § 283 from directly or indirectly infringing the '683 patent;

3 F. That this Court award damages to compensate InterTrust for Microsoft's  
4 infringement, as well as enhanced damages, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284;

5 G. That this Court adjudge this case to be exceptional and award reasonable  
6 attorney's fees to InterTrust pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285

7 H. That this Court assess pre-judgment and post-judgment interest and costs against  
8 Microsoft, and award such interest and costs to InterTrust, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284; and

9 I. That InterTrust have such other and further relief as the Court may deem proper.  
10  
11

12 Dated: April 26, 2001


KEKER & VAN NEST, LLP

13  
14  
15 By: 

16 JOHN W. KEKER  
17 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
18 INTERTRUST TECHNOLOGIES  
19 CORPORATION  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

Dated: April 26, 2001

By:   
JOHN W. KEKER  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
INTERTRUST TECHNOLOGIES  
CORPORATION